

CONFLICT OF LAWS

**CASES AND MATERIALS
1996**

Professor Janet Walker

Prepared for Student Use Only
Not for Commercial Sale

CONFLICT OF LAWS

Cases and Materials 1996

Professor Janet Walker*

Class	Topics - Readings	Page
1	Introduction	
2	I - JURISDICTION	
	(a) Personal Service	
	(i) Individuals	
	<i>Baroda v Wildenstein</i> [1972] 2 QB 283 (CA)	5
	(ii) Corporations	
	<i>Adams v Cape Industries plc</i> [1990] Ch 433	8
	<i>SDI Simulation Group Inc v Chameleon Tech Inc</i> 34 CPC (3d) 346	10
	(iii) Alternatives(Domicile/Residence): Brussels Convention, Articles 2-4	13
3	(b) Submission	
	(i) Agreement	
	<i>Mackender v Feldia</i> [1967] 2 QB 590	14
	Brussels Convention, Article 17	16
	(ii) Attornment	
	<i>Gourmet Res Int'l Inc. v Paramount Capital Corp.</i> (1991) 5 CPC (3d) 140	17
	Brussels Convention, Articles 18, 20	22
4	(c) Real and Substantial Connection	
	Rule 17, Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure	23
	<i>Moran v Pyle National (Canada) Ltd.</i> [1975] SCR 393	25
	<i>Diamond v Bank of London and Montreal Ltd.</i> [1979] 1 QB 333	31
	Court Jurisdiction and Proceedings Transfer Act, Part 2	33
	Brussels Convention, Articles 5-15	37
	Québec Civil Code, Articles 3134-3154	40
5	Stays and Injunctions	
	<i>Amchem Products Inc v B.C. (Workers' Comp Bd)</i> [1993] 1 SCR 897	42
6	<i>Frymer v Brettschneider</i> (1994) 19 OR (3d) 60	66
	<i>Jaffe v Dearing</i> (1988) 65 OR (2d) 113	75
7	<i>The Angelic Grace</i> [1995] 1 Lloyd's L Reps 87	79
	Negative Declarations	
	<i>Midland Bank plc v Laker Airways Ltd</i> [1986] QB 689	85
	<i>Volvox Hollandia</i> [1988] 2 Lloyds LR 361	88
8	Multiplicity	
	<i>De Dampierre v De Dampierre</i> [1988] AC 92	89
	Brussels Convention, Articles 21-23	96

* I wish to thank Sandi Smith, (LLB, Osgoode, 1996) for her able assistance in compiling these materials.

	<i>Guarantee Co of N.A. v Gordon Capital Corp</i> (1994) 18 OR (3d) 9	97
	Exclusive Jurisdiction	
	<i>Duke v Andler</i> [1932] SCR 734	103
	Brussels Convention, Articles 16, 19	107
9	Transferring Proceedings	
	USCS §1404(a)	108
	Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act, 1987 (Australia)	109
	Court Jurisdiction and Transfer of Proceedings Act, Part 3	111
	Mareva Injunctions	
	<i>Aetna Financial Services v Feigelman</i> [1985] 1 SCR 2	116
	Brussels Convention, Article 24	119
10	II - FOREIGN JUDGMENTS	
	(a) Jurisdiction	
	(i) Traditional Rule	
	<i>Schibsby v Westenholz</i> (1870) LR 6 QB 155	120
	<i>Emanuel v Symon</i> [1908] 1 KB 302	125
11	(ii) Real and Substantial Connection	
	<i>Morguard Investments Ltd v De Savoye</i> [1990] 3 SCR 1077	128
	<i>Arrowmaster Inc v Unique Forming Ltd</i> (1993) 16 OR (3d) 407	147
12	(b) Conclusiveness/Other Requirements	
	(i) Conclusiveness	
	<i>Godard v Gray</i> (1870) LR 6 QB 139	155
	<i>Four Embarcadero Ctr Venture v Mr Greenjeans</i> (1988) 65 OR (2d) 551	159
	(ii) Finality	
	<i>Nouvion v Freeman</i> (1889) 15 AC 1	163
13	(ii) Definite Sum	
	<i>Beatty v Beatty</i> [1924] 1 KB 807	167
	(c) Foreign Public Laws	
	<i>USA v Ivey</i> (1995) 26 OR (3d) 533	169
14	(d) Impeachment	
	(i) Fraud	
	<i>House of Spring Gardens v Waite</i> [1991] 1 QB 241	183
	(ii) Natural Justice	
	<i>Adams v Cape Industries plc</i> [1990] Ch 433	187
	(iii) Public Policy	
	<i>Vervaeke v Smith</i> [1983] 1 AC 145	196
	<i>Boardwalk Regency Corp. v Maalouf</i> (1992) 6 OR (3d) 737	202
15	(e) Alternative Approaches/Federal and Regional Systems	
	Québec Civil Code, Arts 3155-3168	209
	Constitution of the U.S.A., Art IV, Amendments V, XIV	211
	<i>International Shoe v Washington</i> 326 US 310 (1945)	212
	Brussels Convention, Articles 1, 25-30	216
	Uniform Enforcement of Canadian Judgments Act	218

16	Class Proceedings	
	British Columbia Class Proceedings Act 1995	222
	<i>Nantais v Telectronics Pty (Canada) Ltd</i> (1995) 25 OR (3d) 331	226
17	Review (Problems)	
18	III - CHOICE OF LAW - GENERAL PRINCIPLES	
	American Conflicts Methods	
	<i>Babcock v Jackson</i> 12 NY (2d) 473 (1963)	230
	<i>Bernhard v Harrah's Club</i> 16 Cal (3d) 313 (1976)	236
	Québec Civil Code, Articles 3076-3130	240
19	Substance/Procedure	
	a) General Principles/Limitation Periods	
	<i>Tolofson v Jensen</i> [1995] 1 SCR 1022	245
	<i>Phrantzes v Argenti</i> [1960] 2 QB 19	250
20	(b) parties - <i>Lucas v Coupal</i> (1931) 66 OLR 141	254
	(c) evidence - <i>Re Cohn</i> [1945] Ch 5	259
	(d) damages - <i>Stevens v Head</i> (1993) 176 CLR 433	261
21	Characterization	
	<i>Beaudoin v Trudel</i> [1937] OR 1 (CA)	266
	<i>Ogden v Ogden</i> [1908] P 46	270
22	Domicile	
	<i>Winans v AG</i> [1910] AC 27	275
	<i>Re Annesley</i> [1926] Ch 692	283
	<i>Osvath-Latkoczy v Osvath-Latkoczy</i> [1959] SCR 751	288
	<i>Patterson v Patterson</i> (1955) 3 DLR (2d) 266	290
23	Renvoi	
	<i>Re Annesley</i> [1926] Ch 692	292
	<i>Ross v Ross</i> (1894) 2 SCR 307	295
	<i>Vladi v Vladi</i> (1987) 39 DLR (4th) 563	297
24	Proof of Foreign Law	
	<i>Cansulex v Reed Stenhouse</i> (1986) 70 BCLR 189	303
	<i>Bumper v MPC</i> [1991] 1 WLR 1362	307
25	Foreign Public Laws	
	<i>Laane & Baltser v Estonian State Cargo etc.</i> [1949] SCR 530	312
	<i>AG (UK) v Heinemann Publishers Aus Pty Ltd (No 2)</i> 165 CLR 30	318
26	The Incidental Question	
	<i>Schwebel v Ungar</i> [1965] SCR 148	330
	The Time Element	
	<i>Starkowski v AG</i> [1954] AC 155	332
27	Review (Problems)	

28	IV - CHOICE OF LAW - AREAS OF LAW	
	Contracts	
	<i>P&O Steam Navigation Co v Shand</i> (1865) 3 Moo PCC NS 272	336
	<i>Eitel v Kertesz</i> [1960] OR 672 (CA)	340
	<i>Montreal Trust Co v Stanrock Uranium Mines Ltd</i> [1966] 1 OR 258	348
29	<i>Vita Food Products Inc v Unus Shipping Co Ltd</i> [1939] AC 277	352
	Rome Convention	361
30	Torts	
	<i>Tolofson v Jensen</i> [1995] 1 SCR 1022	365
31	<i>Breavington v Godleman</i> (1988) 169 CLR 41	385
	<i>Red Sea Insurance v Bouygues</i> [1995] 1 AC 191	388
	Private International Law (Misc Provisions) Act 1995 (UK)	391
32	Property	
	(a) Immovables	
	<i>Bondholders Securities Corp v Manville</i> [1933] 4 DLR 699	393
	<i>Landry v Lachapelle</i> [1937] 2 DLR 504	396
33	(b) Movable	
	Personal Property Security Act, RSO 1990 c P10, ss 5-8	399
	<i>Re Bedard</i> (1983) 3 PPSAC 29	401
	<i>Re Adair</i> (1985) 4 PPSAC 262	403
34	Succession	
	Succession Law Reform Act, RSO 1990 c S26, ss 34-42	407
35	Marriage	
	<i>Berthiaume v Dastous</i> [1930] AC 79	410
	<i>Frew v Reed</i> (1969) 69 WWR 327	412
	Divorce Act, RS 1985, c 3 (2nd Supp) ss 3-6. 22-23	416
36	Matrimonial Causes	
	<i>Powell v Cockburn</i> [1977] 2 SCR 218	419
	Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Orders, RSO 1990 c R7, ss 2-10	421
37	Federalism	
	<i>Hunt v T&N plc</i> [1993] 4 SCR 289	425
38	Review (Summary)	
39	Review (Questions)	